



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 09/813,898 | 03/22/2001 | Mitsuru Sato | 041514-5114 | 4674 | |
| 9629 | 7590 12/14/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW | | | AGUSTIN, PETER VINCENT | | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20004 | | \ \ | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2652 | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/813,898 | SATO ET AL. | | | | |
| ,, | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Peter Vincent Agustin | 2652 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (' condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in | | | | |
| 5 PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monaged potent terms divisiting the period of the shortened period by the Office later than three monaged potent terms divisiting the period of the shortened period to the shortened by the Office later than three monaged period to the shortened than the period of the shortened by the Office later than three monaged period to the shortened than the period of the shortened by the Office later than three monaged period to the shortened than the period of the shortene | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in | of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action: or (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. ☑ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 December 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | |
| $3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons noted on item 10 below. | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the response after final a |)□ will not be entered or b)⊠ v | will be entered. | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1 & 2. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: The applicant's arguments against the rejection of claims 1 & 2 have been fully considered by the examiner, but were | | | | | | |
| not found to be persuasive. Therefore, the rejections are main | tained. ↓ | inel) X | | | | |
| C. Potent and Trademark Office | 10 | VILLIAM KĽIMOWIČZ PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | | |